PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 09, 2024

TIME: 1:54 PM

WSR 24-21-052

Agency: Employment Security Department									
□ Original Notice									
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR									
□ Continuance of WSR									
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 24-12-044; or									
☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or									
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or									
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW									
Title of rule and other identifying information: WAC 192-04-100 Appeals-Petitions for hearing or petitions for review-									
Withdrawal and reinstatement of. Hearing location(s):									
	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:					
December 3, 2024	9:00 AM	Zoom		Join Zoom meeting: https://esd-wa-					
December 3, 2024	Meeting ID: 882 2057 4283		}	gov.zoom.us/i/88220574283?pwd=KvBrFunp2AatAk3F					
		Passcode: 963967		oPwUG9IPPFAbQ8.1					
		Call in:							
		+16469313860,,882205742 ,*963967# US	283#,,,						
Date of intended adop	otion: Dece	mber 10, 2024 (Note: This i	s NOT	the effective date)					
<u> </u>				ance for persons with disabilities:					
Name: Stephanie Fraze	ee		Conta	ct Teresa Eckstein					
Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046			Phone	: 360-507-9890					
Email: rules@esd.wa.gov				60-586-4600					
Fax: 844-652-7096			TTY: F	Relay 711					
Other:			Email: Teresa.eckstein@esd.wa.ov						
By December 3, 2024			Other:						
			By No	vember 26, 2024					
				changes in existing rules: Claimants and employers					
				withdraw their appeal before their hearing occurs.					
				eason, such as not understanding the impact of wal of their appeal so that they can have a hearing.					
				fice of Administrative Hearings adjudicates these					
requests, the Departme	ent's rules d	o not set out a process or a	standa	rd of review for evaluating these requests.The					
				standard of review for parties wishing to request to					
reinstate their appeal o	r petition for	hearing after withdrawing it	•						
Reasons supporting proposal: This rulemaking will clarify the process and standard of review for a claimant or employer									
who wishes to request to reinstate their appeal or petition for hearing after withdrawing it.									
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010; RCW 50.12.040; RCW 50.12.042; RCW 50.32.060; RCW 34.05.220.									
Statute being impleme	ented: RC	W 50.32.060							

Is rule necessary because of a:									
Federal Law?									
Federal Cour	☐ Yes ⊠ No								
State Court D	☐ Yes ⊠ No								
If yes, CITATION:	f yes, CITATION:								
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None									
Type of proponent: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☒ Governmental Name of proponent: Employment Security Department									
Name of agency p	ersonnel responsible for:								
	Name	Office Location	Phone						
Drafting:	Stephanie Frazee	Olympia, WA	425-465-0313						
Implementation:	J.R. Richards	Olympia, WA	360-463-1079						
Enforcement:	J.R. Richards	Olympia, WA	360-463-1079						
Is a school district If yes, insert statem	-	uired under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ?	□ Yes ⊠ No						
Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	obtain a copy of the school dis	trict fiscal impact statement by contacting:							
Is a cost-benefit a	nalvsis required under RCW	34.05.328?							
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328 ? ☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:									
Name: Stephanie Frazee									
Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046									
Phone: 425-465-0313									
Fax: 844-652-7096									
TTY: Relay 771									
Email: rules@esd.wa.gov									
	Other: https://esd.wa.gov/newsroom/rulemaking/ No: Please explain: This rulemaking is clarifying an appeal procedure that is already in place and will not create								
any additional costs. This rulemaking concerns a procedure relating to agency hearings.									
	ss Act and Small Business E								
		ation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in con	npleting this part.						
chapter 19.85 RCW	or portions of the proposal, ma	by be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory For exemptions, consult the exemption guide published							
adopted solely to co	onform and/or comply with fede is being adopted to conform or	is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule eral statute or regulations. Please cite the specific fear comply with, and describe the consequences to the	ederal statute or						
		is exempt because the agency has completed the r	oilot rule process						
defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.									
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.									

□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)							
(Internal government operations) (Dictated by statute)							
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)							
(Incorporation by reference) (Set or adjust fees)							
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) ⊠ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)							
(Correct or clarify language) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process							
requirements for applying to an agency for a licens	e						
or permit)							
☑ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(4) (does not affect small businesses).							
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW							
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: This rulemaking clarifies an appeals process that							
already exists and does not impose any additional costs or requirements on small businesses or other parties. (2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.							
The rule proposal is fully exempt (skip section 3). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal	ıl.						
☐ The rule proposal is partially exempt (complete section 3). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule							
proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):							
☐ The rule proposal is not exempt <i>(complete section 3)</i> . No exemptions were identified above.							
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.							
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.							
 Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here: 							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Name:							
Address:							
Phone:							
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email: Other:							
Signature:							
Date: October 9, 2024							
Name: Joy Adams							
Title: Employment System Policy & Integrity Director							