PROPOSED RULE MAKING		CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making	
Agency: Employment Security Department           Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 17-04           Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WS           Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330	SR; c	Original Notice     Supplemental Notice to WSR     Continuance of WSR	
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Sub employers who are delinquent on taxes or reports.	oject) WAC 192-32	0-036, regarding determining tax rates for	
<b>Hearing location(s):</b> Employment Security Department Maple Leaf Conference Room, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor 212 Maple Park Avenue Olympia, WA	Name: Juani Address: En PO Box 9046 e-mail jmye	Submit written comments to: Name: Juanita Myers Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046, Olympia WA 98507 e-mail <u>imyers@esd.wa.gov</u> fax (360) <u>902-9605</u> by (date) June 7, 2017	
Date: June 8, 2017 Time: 10:30 a.m.	Assistance	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact	
Date of intended adoption: June 12, 2017		Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer by June 7, 2017	
(Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date) <b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, includin</b>		TTY 711 or (360) <u>902-9354</u>	
<ul> <li>good faith and application of the delinquent tax rate would more flexible, totality of the factors approach. It also clarifi rate.</li> <li><b>Reasons supporting proposal:</b> Employers who make good fa subject to the delinquent tax rate imposed by RCW 50.29.00 delinquent tax rate would be inequitable based on the totalit the department's authority to retroactively apply the delinquent</li> </ul>	es the department's aith efforts to repay 25. The department by of certain factors	and report their wages as required will not be t will also consider whether application of the	
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010 and 50.12.0	040 <b>Statute bei</b>	ng implemented: RCW 50.29.010	
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law?		CODE REVISER USE ONLY	
Federal Court Decision?     Yes       State Court Decision?     Yes	No No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED	
<b>DATE</b> April 19, 2017		DATE: April 20, 2017 TIME: 12:28 PM	
NAME (type or print) Dale Peinecke		WSR 17-10-005	
SIGNATURE WHERE			

	iny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforce	ment, and fiscal		
Mone.				
Name of proponent: (person or organization)	Employment Security Department	Private		
		Public		
		Governmental		
Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting Scott Michael	Olympia	(360) 902-9587		
ImplementationBrenda Westfall	Olympia	(360) 902-9554		
Enforcement Brenda Westfall	Olympia	(360) 902-9554		
	ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has	a school district		
fiscal impact statement been prepared unde	r section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?			
Yes. Attach copy of small business ecor	nomic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ement.		
A copy of the statement may be obt	ained by contacting:			
Name:				
Address:				
phone() fax ()				
e-mail				
$\square$ No. Explain why no statement was prop	ared			
No. Explain why no statement was prepared. By federal law, all businesses are subject to the same tax requirements regardless of size. There is no exception for small businesses.				
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?			
Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	s may be obtained by contacting:			
Name: Juanita Myers				
Address:				
phone( ) fax ( )				
e-mail				
No: Please explain: The rule does not	impose additional costs on businesses in general, nor on s	small businesses in		
particular. The rule makes application of the de	linquent tax rate more flexible and equitable, which should			
applied less frequently.				