CODE REVISER USE ONLY

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

## CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: July 05, 2023 TIME: 9:52 AM

WSR 23-14-118

Agency: Employment Security Department								
⊠ Original Notice								
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR								
□ Continuance of WSR								
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 23-05-104; or □ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
								□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or
Proposal is exempt under RCW Title of rule and other identifying information: WAC 192-140-035 What happens if I do not respond to a request for information?								
								Hearing location(s):
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:				
August 10, 2023	9:00 AM	Zoom Meeting ID: 846 6400 6931		Join Zoom meeting: <u>https://esd-wa-</u>				
	PST			gov.zoom.us/j/84664006931?pwd=U3NZcDhGTms1Q>				
		Passcode: 372773		NVQVFXYXI3dXNYUT09				
		One tan mehile						
		One tap mobile +12532158782,,84664006931#						
		US (Tacoma)	<b>JJI</b> <sup>II</sup>					
		+12532050468,,84664006	931#					
		US						
Date of intended adoption: August 11, 2023 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)								
Submit written comments to:				Assistance for persons with disabilities:				
Name: Stephanie Frazee			Contact: Teresa Eckstein					
Address: P.O. Box 904	46, Olympia	, WA 98507-9046	Phone: 360-507-9890					
Email: rules@esd.wa.gov				Fax: 360-507-9890				
Fax: 844-652-7096			TTY: Relay 711					
Other:			Email: Teresa.eckstein@esd.wa.ov					
By (date) August 10, 2023				Other:				
				By (date) <u>August 3, 2023</u>				
035 states that if an ur benefits "indefinitely" u clarify the circumstanc	nemploymer Intil they res es under wh or informatic	nt insurance claimant does n pond to the Department's re nich a claimant may requalify on from the Department by c	ot respo quest fo / for bei	<b>y changes in existing rules:</b> Currently, WAC 192-140- ond to a request for information, they are denied or information. The Department's proposed rule would hefits after previously being denied due to failing to two exceptions to the "indefinite period of time"				
<b>Reasons supporting proposal:</b> The proposal is needed to clarify the circumstances in which a claimant may regualify for								

**Reasons supporting proposal:** The proposal is needed to clarify the circumstances in which a claimant may requalify for benefits after being denied benefits for failing to respond to a Department request for information.



<b>Statutory authority for adoption:</b> RCW 50.12.010 and RCW 50.12.040 provide general rulemaking authority to the Employment Security Department. RCW 50.20.010 defines benefit eligibility conditions for unemployment benefits.								
Statute being implemented: RCW 50.20.010.								
Is rule necessary because of a:								
Federal Law?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
Federal Court Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
State Court Decision?		🗆 Yes 🛛 No						
If yes, CITATION:								
Agency comments or recommendati matters:	Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal							
Type of proponent:       □ Private □ Public ⊠ Governmental         Name of proponent:       (person or organization)       Employment Security Department								
Name of agency personnel responsi	ble for:							
Name	Office Location	Phone						
Drafting: Stephanie Frazee	Olympia, WA	425-465-0313						
Implementation: JR Richards	Olympia, WA	360-463-1079						
Enforcement: JR Richards	Olympia, WA	360-463-1079						
Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:							
Is a cost-benefit analysis required ur								
	efit analysis may be obtained by contacting:							
Name: Stephanie Frazee								
Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046								
Phone: 425-465-0313								
Fax: 844-652-7096								
TTY: Relay 771								
Email: rules@esd.wa.gov Other: https://esd.wa.gov/newsroom/rulemaking/failure-to-response								
$\square$ No: Please explain:								
•	Business Economic Impact Statement							
	tory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides supp	port in completing this part.						
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u> ). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):								
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description:								
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by <u>RCW 34.05.313</u> before filing the notice of this proposed rule.								

	ule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is by a referendum.	exempt under th	e provisions of <u>RCW 15.65.570</u> (2) because it was					
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(3)</u> . Check all that apply:								
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)					
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)					
			<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)					
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)					
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process					
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)					
□ This r	ule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is	exempt under R	CW 19.85.025(4) (does not affect small businesses).					
□ This r	ule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is	exempt under R	CW					
Explanat	ion of how the above exemption(s) applies	to the proposed r	ule:					
<ul> <li>(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.</li> <li>The rule proposal is fully exempt (<i>skip section 3</i>). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.</li> <li>The rule proposal is partially exempt (<i>complete section 3</i>). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using <u>this template from ORIA</u>):</li> <li>The rule proposal is not exempt (<i>complete section 3</i>). No exemptions were identified above.</li> </ul>								
(3) Smal	business economic impact statement:	Complete this se	ction if any portion is not exempt.					
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt. If any portion of the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?								
No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed								
rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:								
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:								
	Name:							
	Address:							
	Phone:							
	Fax:							
	TTY:							
	Email:							
	Other:							
Date:	July 3, 2023	Signatu	ire:					
Name:	Joy Adams		CLEAIL					
Title:	Title: Director							
Employment System Policy & Integrity Division								