CODE REVISER USE ONLY



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON **FILED**

DATE: January 07, 2025

TIME: 9:49 AM

WSR 25-03-024

| Agency: | : E | Employment Security Department | | | |
|--|------|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| ☑ Original Notice | | | | | |
| ☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR | | | | | |
| ☐ Continuance of WSR | | | | | |
| ☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 24-21-047; or | | | | | |
| ☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or | | | | | |
| ☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or | | | | | |
| ☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW | | | | | |
| Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 192-150-110 (Mandatory military transfers— | | | | | |
| RCW 50.20.050(2)(b)(iii)) amendment. | | | | | |
| Hearing | | | | | |
| location(s): | | | | | |
| Date: | | Location: (be specific) | Comme | ent: | |
| me | | | | | |
| March | • | Zoom | Join m | eeting: https://esd-wa- | |
| 6, 2025 | | | | m.us/j/81251639176?pwd=ayTvHYrFgZFrZogmtf2da3mJq1P | |
| | | Meeting ID: 812 5163 9176 | X8b.1 | | |
| | AIVI | Passcode: 859955 | | | |
| | | One tap mobile | | | |
| | | +13092053325,,81251639176#,,,,*8599 | | | |
| | | 55# US | | | |
| | | +13126266799,,81251639176#,,,,*8599 55# US (Chicago) | | | |
| | | oon oo (omaago) | | | |
| Date of intended adoption: March 27, 2025 (Note: This is NOT the effective date) | | | | | |
| Submit written comments to: | | | | Assistance for persons with disabilities: | |
| Name: Lawrence Larson | | | Contact: Teresa Eckstein | | |
| Address: P.O Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046 | | | | Phone: 360-507-9890 | |
| Email: esdgpuirules@esd.wa.gov | | | | Fax: 360-586-4600 | |
| Fax: 844-652-7096 | | | | TTY: Relay 711 | |
| Other | | | | Email: Teresa.eckstein@esd.wa.gov | |
| | | | Other | | |
| By: March 6, 2025 | | | | By: February 27, 2025 | |
| Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: | | | | | |

WAC 192-150-110 provides guidance on the requirements for establishing good cause to quit work if an individual quits work to relocate for their spouse or domestic partner's employment that is due to a mandatory military transfer. Currently, WAC 192-150-110(3) defines military as including the following: U.S. Navy, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, U.S. Marine Corps, U.S. Coast Guard, activated reserve members of any of these service branches, activated members of the National Guard, commissioned officers of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, and commissioned officers of the regular or reserve corps of the U.S. Public Health Service. This list of military branches in the rule does not include the U.S. Space Force. The Department is amending WAC 192-150-110 to expand the definition of military so that it explicitly includes all branches of the United States Armed Forces.

Reasons supporting proposal: Space Force was founded in 2019 and now has over 14,000 military and civilian personnel. Although it is organized under the Department of the Air Force, it is a separate and distinct branch of the armed services. The proposed amendment to WAC 192-150-110 will clarify, by making explicit, that the definition of military includes all branches of the United States Armed Forces. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010, RCW 50.12.042, and RCW 50.12.040 provide general rulemaking authority to the Employment Security Department. RCW 50.20.050 defines disqualification for leaving work voluntarily without good cause. Specifically, under RCW 50.20.050(2)(b)(iii), a claimant has good cause to quit when they (A) left work to relocate for the employment of a spouse or domestic partner that is outside the existing labor market area and (B) remained employed as long as was reasonable prior to the move. RCW 50.20.050 Statute being implemented: Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? ☐ Yes \bowtie No Federal Court Decision? ☐ Yes \bowtie No State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If yes, CITATION: Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None. Name of proponent: (person or organization) Employment Security Department **Type of proponent:** □ Private. □ Public. ⊠ Governmental. Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone Drafting Olympia, WA 360-890-3460 Lawrence Larson Implementation JR Richards Olympia, WA 360-463-1079 Enforcement JR Richards Olympia, WA 360-463-1079 Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes □ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name Address Phone Fax TTY **Email** Other Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? ☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Lawrence Larson Address: P.O Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046 Phone: 425-465-0313 Fax: 844-652-7096 TTY: Relay 771 Email: esdgpuirules@esd.wa.gov https://esd.wa.gov/newsroom/rulemaking ⋈ No: Please explain: This rulemaking does not change the effect of the rule, it only clarifies that all branches of the United States Armed forces will be included in the definition. Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). For additional information on exemptions, consult the exemption guide published by ORIA. Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

| adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply wit adopted. Citation and description: | |
|--|---|
| ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempted defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposal. ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempted adopted by a referendum. ☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempted. | posed rule. under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was |
| □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) (Internal government operations) □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) | □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Dictated by statute) □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) |
| (Incorporation by reference) ⊠ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language) | (Set or adjust fees) □ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) |
| $\ \square$ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt | under RCW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses). under RCW possed rule: This rulemaking does not change the effect of the |
| (2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.☑ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (Skip section 3.) Exem | ptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal. 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule lls here (consider using this template from ORIA): |
| (3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impon businesses? | e this section if any portion is not exempt. cose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) |
| rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. | or cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed poses more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business d small business economic impact statement here: |
| The public may obtain a copy of the small business ec contacting: | onomic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by |
| Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other | |
| Date: January 7, 2025 Name: Joy Adams | Signature: |
| Title: Employment Security Policy Director | Place signature here |