

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

1889	Do NOT use for expedited rule making	
Agency: Employment Security Department		
 Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR 16 Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). 	Continuance of WSR	
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Adoption of a new rule in Chapter 192-04, Practice and Procedure for appeals related to unemployment benefits and taxes. The new rule allows the option for the Commissioner's Review Office (CRO) to hold evidentiary hearings on whether a Petition for Review (PFR) was filed late with good cause.		
Hearing location(s): Employment Security Department Maple Leaf Conference Room, 2 nd Floor 212 Maple Park Ave.	Submit written comments to: Name: Juanita Myers Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046, Olympia WA 98507 e-mail jmyers@esd.wa.gov	
Olympia, WA	fax (360) 902-9605 by (date) August 22, 2016	
Date: <u>August 23, 2016</u> Time: <u>9:00 a.m.</u>	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact	
Date of intended adoption: August 26, 2016 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	<u>Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer</u> by <u>August 22, 2016</u> TTY <u>711</u> or (360) <u>902-9354</u>	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Currently, when a PFR is filed late, the CRO remands the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) to conduct a short evidentiary hearing as to why the PFR was late. OAH does not take jurisdiction and does not determine whether the untimely filing was for good cause. OAH gathers the facts as to why the PFR was late and returns the hearing record to the CRO to determine good cause. The proposed rule will eliminate the need for the CRO to remand the issue of good cause for the untimely filed PFR to OAH for an evidentiary hearing. Since the purpose of the evidentiary hearing is solely to gather the facts regarding why the petition was late, the CRO would have the ability to conduct the hearing. The determination of whether the petitioner had good cause for filing late is not changed; it remains with the CRO. Reasons supporting proposal: The current process requiring remand to OAH creates a significant delay in the review process. It takes OAH an average of 50 days to conduct the evidentiary hearing and return the hearing record to the CRO. The average time frame for the CRO to complete the review process is 15 days. Petitioners will receive their decisions much more quickly and, if unemployment benefits are allowed, the hardship on the individual due to today's extensive turnaround time will be alleviated. In addition, PFRs that the CRO ordinarily dismisses could get a short evidentiary hearing, providing better access to justice for petitioners. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010 and 50.12.040 Statute being implemented: RCW 50.32.075 and 50.32.080		
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED DATE: July 19, 2016 TIME: 11:39 AM	
DATE	WSR 16-15-080	
NAME (type or print) Lisa Marsh		
SIGNATURE Disa Marsh		
TITLE Deputy Commissioner		

matters: None	f any, as to statutory language, impleme	entation, enforcement, and fiscal
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Employment Security Department	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
Name of agency personnel responsible fo		
Name	Office Location	Phone (200) 570,0000
Drafting Don Westfall	Olympia	(360) 570-6960
ImplementationDon Westfall	Olympia	(360) 570-6960
Enforcement Don Westfall Has a small business economic impact st	Olympia	(360) 570-6960
fiscal impact statement been prepared un		
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business ed	onomic impact statement or school district	fiscal impact statement.
A copy of the statement may be o	htained by contacting:	
Name:		
Address:		
phone ()		
fax () e-mail	_	
⊠ No. Explain why no statement was pre	epared. There is no impact to business, oth	er than providing individuals
appealing a decision of OAH a more efficient		or than providing marviadals
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under F	CW 34.05.328?	
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analy	sis may be obtained by contacting:	
Name:		
Address:		
phone () fax ()		
fax () e-mail		
		costs on the regulated community
∠ No. Flease explain. The change is but	iget heut at for the department, and imposes no	costs on the regulated community.