CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: September 11, 2019

TIME: 7:45 AM

WSR 19-19-031

PROPOSED	RULE	MAKING
----------	------	--------



## CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Employment Security Department						
⊠ Original Notice						
Supplemental Notice to WSR						
Continuance of WSR						
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>19-06-088</u> ; or						
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or						
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or						
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW						
<b>Title of rule and other identifying information:</b> (describe subject) Amending WAC 192-110-015, which addresses applications by standby workers, to permit claimants to backdate applications for standby.						
Hearing location(s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
October 24, 2019	8am – 9am:	Employment Security Department, 212 Maple Park Ave, Olympia, WA 98501	Commissioner's Conference Room			
Date of intended ado	ption: Janu	ary 10, 2020 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> th	e <b>effective</b> date)			
Submit written comm	ents to:					
Name: Joshua Dye						
Address: P.O. Box 904	46, Olympia	, WA 98507-9046				
Email: rules@esd.wa.g	JOV					
Fax: 844-652-7096						
Other:						
By (date) <u>September 23, 2019</u>						
Assistance for persons with disabilities:						
Contact <u>Teresa Eckstein</u>						
Phone: 360-507-9890						
Fax: 360-586-4600						
TTY: Relay 711						
Email: teckstein@esd.wa.gov						
Other:						
By (date) <u>September 16, 2019</u>						
<b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:</b> Standby, as defined in WAC 192-110-115, means a claimant is temporarily unemployed because of a lack of work but expects to return to work with their regular employer within four weeks; expects to begin full-time work with a new employer within two weeks; or is						

The amendments to the standby rule are being adopted to address circumstances when claimants should be allowed to backdate applications for standby after employers confirm an expected return-to-work date. As currently written, the rule does not allow for standby claims to be backdated.

temporarily unemployed due to natural disaster.

**Reasons supporting proposal:** Standby status allows both employers and employees to manage temporary layoffs with an expected return-to-work date. Under the proposed rules, claimants are eligible for unemployment benefits without the need to search for other employment. Employers are relieved of the need to recruit and train new employees because claimants are available to return to work. This allows both the claimant and the employer to avoid negative long-term effects from a shortterm layoff. Allowing claimants to backdate standby also alleviates burdens on the claimant that may arise from a number of reasons, including misunderstanding the terms of the temporary layoff or receiving incorrect information regarding the filings required to receive unemployment benefits. The ability to backdate for the convenience of the Department ensures that claimants are not held liable due to circumstances within the Department that are beyond the control of the claimant. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 50.12.010 and RCW 50.12.040 provide general rulemaking authority to the Employment Security Department. RCW 50.12.042 provides specific rulemaking authority regarding the requirement that unemployment claimants be able to work, available for work, and actively seek work. RCW 50.20.010 provides rulemaking authority regarding benefit eligibility conditions. Statute being implemented: RCW 50.20.010 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? □ Yes 🛛 No Federal Court Decision? □ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes State Court Decision? ⊠ No If yes, CITATION: Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None Name of proponent: (person or organization) Employment Security Department Private □ Public ⊠ Governmental Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone Drafting: Scott Michael Olympia, WA 360-890-3448 Implementation: Julie Lord Olympia, WA 360-902-9579 Enforcement: Julie Lord Olympia, WA 360-902-9579 Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Joshua Dve Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046 Phone: 360-890-3472 Fax: 844-652-7096 TTY: Relay 711 Email: rules@esd.wa.gov

Other: https://esd.wa.gov/newsroom/ui-rule-making/

□ No: Please explain:

## Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES					
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:					
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)		
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process		
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)		
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)		
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)		
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)		
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)		

If the proposed rule is not exempt, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. 🛛 No Allowing employees to backdate standby does not expand benefits for claimants. There is no projected increase in costs to employers or claimants.

□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contactina:

Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:

Other: Signature: Date: September 11, 2019 Name: Dan Zeitlin Pulc-Title: Employment System Policy Director